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From Petascale to Exascale
- Power consumption in supercomputers is becoming a challenging problem
  => Cost and Space!
- Exascale computers that are expected delivered in 2019-2020 should fulfill 20MW of power consumption limit
- Conventional multi-core processors are not sufficient
  => GPU or Xeon Phi?

Utilizing Low-Powered Processors
- An alternative approach can be leveraging low-powered and lightweight mobile processors to build supercomputing clusters
- Potentially minimize the overall system size along with cooling facilities
- European project called Mont-Blanc has been conducted to design a new type of system built from energy efficient solutions used in embedded and mobile devices.

Our Approach
- Empirical Study of building our own SLAP (Scalable, Low-powered, Autonomous & Robust, Pluggable) cluster based on energy efficient mobile processors
- Especially focusing on the usability and reliability of our prototype system
  • HPL Benchmark
  • A real scientific application
  • GlusterFS for storage cluster

Building the SLAP Cluster
- A small scale of 10 cluster nodes based on mobile processors (Samsung Exynos 5)

H/W Specification
- A single node spec
  • ODROID-XU board consisting of Samsung Exynos 5410 SoC CPU, 2GB LPDDR3 RAM, 10/100 Ethernet Controller, USB 3.0 and 2.0 ports
  • 64GB eMMC, 500G SSD as local storages
- Built a cluster of 10 nodes connected via 1 Gigabit Ethernet

HPL Benchmark Test
- A single node performance
  • Maximum 7.598GFlops => 2.739 GFlops/Watt (similar to Green500 18th)
  • Power consumption: 1.5Watts (idle time), Max 9.44Watts (CPU+Memory+GPU consume 4.49 Watts [47.6%])
- 10-nodes cluster performance
  • Maximum 47.44GFlops (83.25% of linear scaling) => 1.35 GFlops/Watt
  • Performance drops mainly due to limited scalability of interconnect and some waste of energy other than CPU, Memory, or GPU

Semiconductor Engineering Application Evaluation
- Finding optical gap of a dome-shaped InAs/GaAs Quantum
- Dot with the LANCZOS algorithm; Compute 5 eigenvalues of a symmetric 400k x 400k complex matrix
- Benchmarking against KISTI Tachyon I
  • Reduction of energy consumption: 5~70 times
  • Increase of wall-time: 4~6 times
  • Larger MTU improves overall performance

GlusterFS(v3.2.7) Evaluation
- Constructing a storage cluster consisting of two logical volumes (eMMC & SSD)
- Evaluation Results
  • Aggregated throughput (10 SLAP cluster nodes) = 5 GByte/s (40% compared to the 20 storage nodes in CHEP’13)
  • Read/Re-Read: eMMC ≈ SSD
  • Random Read: eMMC > SSD (max 15%)
  • Write/ReWrite: eMMC < SSD (max 6~9%)
  • Random Write: eMMC < SSD (max 97%)
- For Write-once, Read-many data analysis applications, eMMC can be better (suited for “cache”)
- For Write-intensive applications, SSD can be better (suited for “buffer”)

Current Status & Future Work
- We could find potential benefits, possibility along with limits of applying embedded technology on supercomputing area
- As the mobile processor and ARM server technologies evolve, we will continue to investigate applying state-of-the-art techniques to save energy
- We plan to build a 8-node server appliance with 64-bit ARM mobile processor (Exynos 7)
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